SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Ogden State: UT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$7,615,235 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1994

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | |
|---|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 4 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 96.96 % | 98.07 % | 3 | 96.45 % | 52 | 54 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 95.36 % | 89.72 % | 1 | 87.93 % | 78 | 82 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 14.3 | 9.06 | 1 | 4.73 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 96.73 % | 1 | 83.38 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 86.86 % | 69.72 % | 1 | 70.15 % | 74 | 79 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 95.05 % | 92.74 % | 2 | 80.67 % | 77 | 82 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 25.74 % | 54.24 % | 4 | 45.30 % | 21 | 18 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 99.12 % | 1 | 95.32 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 2 / 4 | Nation | ally: 74 | 76 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Complete | d Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$3,547 | \$24,020 | | \$26,635 | 101 Units | 10.00 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$8,534 | \$12,760 | | \$14,938 | 833 Units | 82.50 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$27,336 | \$16,179 | | \$20,675 | 76 Units | 7.50 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,113 | | \$3,216 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Ogde | n | | | JT | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects) PJ: State:* Nation | | \$54,259 \$69,328 \$95,185 | \$88,999 \$107,116 \$74,993 | Hon | \$37,985 \$24,830 \$23,434 | CHDO Operating (% of alloc | eation) | PJ: National Av | vg: 0.0 1.1 | |
| RACE: White: Black/African American: Asian: American Indian/Alaska Native: Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: American Indian/Alaska Native and White Asian and White: Black/African American and White: American Indian/Alaska Native and Blace Other Multi Racial: Asian/Pacific Islander: | % 62.4 6.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 | S9.7 1.7 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 1.2 | Homeowner % 59.2 5.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3 1.3 | TBRA % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | Elderly: Related/Sing Related/Two Other: | Elderly: gle Parent: | Rental % 87.1 4.0 4.0 5.0 0.0 | mebuyer Ho % 31.6 2.3 13.9 44.5 7.1 | | 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 27.7 | 36.2 | 32.9 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMEN | NTAL RENTAL AS | SISTANCE | | | |
| 1 Person: | 78.2 | 26.8 | 22.4 | 0.0 | Section 8: | | 21.8 | 0.1 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 13.9 | 24.3 | 27.6 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA | x: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 5.0 | 16.9 | 21.1 | 0.0 | Other: | | 3.0 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 3.0 | 17.3 | 18.4 | 0.0 | No Assistanc | ee: | 75.2 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 9.1 | 5.3 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section | 504 Compliant U | nits / Comp | leted Units S | 3ince 2001 | 10 |

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Ogden | State: | UT | Group Rank: | 74 |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|----|--------------|----|
| | | _ | | (Percentile) | |

State Rank: 2 / 4 PJs
Overall Rank: 76
(Percentile)

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 76.20% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.90% | 86.86 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 95.05 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.88% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.550 | 0.67 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.